

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,383 10/21/2003		0/21/2003	Valerie Vreeland	02307O-087130US	8387	
20350	7590	07/08/2004	EXAMINER			
TOWNSEN TWO EMBA		OWNSEND AN	WALICKA, MA	WALICKA, MALGORZATA A		
EIGHTH FL		OCENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA	A 94111-3834	1652	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)						
		10/691,383		VREELAND, VALERIE						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Malgorzata A		1652						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·								
′—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consid								
Application	on Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examina	ier.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
Attachment	c(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary							
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 'No(s)/Mail Date	5) [ 6) [	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		-152)					

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 1-13, and 28-36, drawn to the nucleic acid and a method of recombinant production of vanadium haloperoxidase polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- II. Claim 14-24, drawn to vanadium haloperoxidase polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 189.
- III. Claim 25-27, drawn to a method for enzymatically halogenating a compound, classified in class 435, subclass 41.

Inventions I and II are two different chemical entities that require different search in the patent and nonpatent literature.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the third invention, the use of the enzyme for halogenating a compound, and the first invention comprising the nucleic acid encoding the enzyme and the recombinant production of the enzyme, are different methods involving different steps and procedures and having different effects.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with

Application/Control Number: 10/691,383

Art Unit: 1652

another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in

a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the

instant case the process of using the vanadium haloperoxidase polypeptide,

which is the halogenation of a chemical compound, can be practitioned with a

catalizator that is not an enzyme.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and

have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent

subject matter, and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their

different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Malgorzata A. Walicka, Ph.D., whose

telephone number is (571) 272-0944 and the right fax number is (571) 273-0944.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 10:00 a.m. to 4:30

p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, Ph.D. can be reached on

(571) 272-0928. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-0937.

Malgorzata A. Walicka, Ph.D. Art Unit 1652

Patent Examiner

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATEINT EXAMINER Page 3

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600